

	- the author has suitable permit for the publication of such an extract:	Yes	No	WILL HAVE
3.4 Style	- adequate language expression:	Yes	No	
	- adequate terminology:	Yes	No	
	- complying with the demands of the scientific style of expression:	Yes	No	
	- appropriate formation of paragraphs, sections and chapters:	Yes	No	
	- appropriate use of nonverbal means (illustrations and tables):			
4 PAPER CONTENTS				
4.1 Originality and freshness of the treated topic	- original issue:	Yes	No	
	- unoriginal issue, repetition and confirmation of other researches:	Yes	No	
	- broadening of the topic with some original components:	Yes	No	
	- an overview of the discipline in a certain area:	Yes	No	
	- scientific (not a research) topic:	Yes	No	
	- the treated topic is up to date and important:			
4.2 Copyright (the author is anonymous and the reviewer tries to evaluate only the author's contribution)	- the demarcation between the author's contribution and the contribution of other authors is clearly defined in the text:	Yes	No	
	- the author's contribution to the treated issue is disputable/not proven:	Yes	No	
4.3 Content overview	- the title(s) correspond(s) to the contents of the paper:	Yes	No	
	- the title gives enough information and contains key words:	Yes	No	
	- the purpose of the paper is clearly defined: the attitude towards the previous works is adequately described:	Yes	No	WILL BE
	- the methods and techniques used are reliable:	Yes	No	
	- the description of the methods and techniques gives enough detail that the research/expert work can be repeated:	Yes	No	WILL BE
	- the amount of the listed data is sufficient:	Yes	No	
	- the argumentation is clear and convincing:	Yes	No	
	- the description of the outcomes contains mistakes (typewrite and similar):	Yes	No	
	- the outcomes are accompanied by comments and critiques			
	- the conclusion includes a summary of previous thesis, their synthesis			
	- the answer to the initial hypothesis:			
	- the bibliography must be supplemented or enlarged:			
4.4 Accuracy of the outcomes		accurate	disputable	not accurate
5 CLASSIFICATION OF THE PAPER TYPE				
	- original scientific paper,			
	- review paper,			
	- short scientific article,			
	- professional article,			
	- review, book review, critique,			
	- polemics, discussion paper,			
	- interview,			
	- popular article,			
	- other papers and essays.			
6 REVIEWER'S SPECIFIC OBSERVATIONS				
	ADD BELOW			

**AND
ARGUMENTATIO
NS**

**7 RECOMMENDATION
FOR THE EDITORIAL
BOARD**

- publication recommended (without modifications)
- publication conditional (after the author modifies the paper in accordance with the reviewer's demands)
- paper not suitable for publishing in the Slovenian Armed Forces publication

NO
YES

Place and date: Ljubljana,
Signature of the reviewer: